

Arch,

25X1A9a

You asked for an appraisal of the [REDACTED] relative to the RO Course.

I am in general agreement with the point made in the first paragraph. However, it might be added that the present ROC is not effective because of some poor presentations, particularly the RID speakers (not [REDACTED] 25X1A9a and because [REDACTED] takes too much time to cover his material.

25X1A9a

With regard to the points made in paragraph 2, I offer the following comments:

Para 2.a: An alternative to this approach would be to keep the same audience, i.e., a mixture of RO candidates and those who desire information on records training, and tighten up the course so that the poorer presentations are eliminated and the needless repetition deleted.

Para 2.b. This paragraph assumes that all of the Form 73s will go through the CI Staff before coming to Training. This will not be the case always and we will end up monitoring who will attend the course. I also wonder who in CI Staff is going to take the time to screen the candidates this thoroughly?

25X1A9a
25X1A9a
25X1A9a

Para 2 c: I am not completely sold that revision of the Name Trace Course is going to completely solve the problem of general records training. [REDACTED] doesn't seem to think so nor does [REDACTED]. I feel that we must ~~weigh~~ ~~weigh~~ examine the over-all records training available and ~~weigh~~ weigh it against what the requirement is for records training and the effort OTR and DDP are willing to put into records training. I'm half inclined to feel that [REDACTED] shop should have a records specialist from RI who could give and organize a basic records course. ~~from RI~~ This would be a separate unit of instruction which would not be a part of Admin Procedures or the Ops Support but which could be taken separately or as part of an overall package which included other items in the curriculum of the Operations Support Faculty.

25X1A9a

